UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.usplo.gov

| APPLICATION NO. | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. |
|---|-------------|----------------------|----------------------|------------------|
| 10/661,602 | 09/15/2003 | Sung Uk Moon | 242752US90 | 8504. |
| 22850 7590 09/28/2007 OBLON, SPIVAK, MCCLELLAND MAIER & NEUSTADT, P.C. 1940 DUKE STREET | | | EXAMINER | |
| | | | PANWALKAR, VINEETA S | |
| ALEXANDRIA, VA 22314 | | • | ART UNIT | PAPER NUMBER |
| | | | 2611 | |
| | | | | |
| | | | NOTIFICATION DATE | DELIVERY MODE |
| | | 09/28/2007 | ELECTRONIC | |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

patentdocket@oblon.com oblonpat@oblon.com jgardner@oblon.com

| | | . د د د |
|--|---|--|
| | Application No. | Applicant(s) |
| | 10/661,602 | MOON ET AL. |
| Office Action Summary | Examiner | ·Art Unit |
| | Vineeta S. Panwalkar | 2611 |
| The MAILING DATE of this communication Period for Reply | appears on the cover sheet with | the correspondence address |
| A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REWHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory per Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by state Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the material patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). | B DATE OF THIS COMMUNICA R 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reprived will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTH atute, cause the application to become ABA | ATION. Note: A strong to the strength of this communication. NOONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). |
| Status | | • |
| 1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 19 | 9 July 2007. | |
| | This action is non-final. | |
| 3) Since this application is in condition for allo | wance except for formal matter | rs, prosecution as to the merits is |
| closed in accordance with the practice unde | er <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. | 11, 453 O.G. 213. |
| Disposition of Claims | | |
| 4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-20</u> is/are pending in the applicati | ion. | |
| 4a) Of the above claim(s) <u>5-10 and 15-20</u> is | | tion. |
| 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. | | |
| 6)⊠ Claim(s) 1-4 and 11-14 is/are rejected. | | |
| 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. | | • |
| 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and | d/or election requirement. | |
| Application Papers | | |
| 9) The specification is objected to by the Exam | iner. | 1. |
| 10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on 19 July 2007 is/are: | | ed to by the Examiner. |
| Applicant may not request that any objection to t | | ** |
| Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the core | rection is required if the drawing(s |) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). |
| 11)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the | Examiner. Note the attached | Office Action or form PTO-152. |
| Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 | | |
| 12)⊠ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for fore a)⊠ All b)☐ Some * c)☐ None of: | ign priority under 35 U.S.C. § | 119(a)-(d) or (f). |
| Certified copies of the priority document | ents have been received. | |
| 2. Certified copies of the priority docume | ents have been received in Ap | plication No |
| Copies of the certified copies of the p | riority documents have been re | eceived in this National Stage |
| application from the International Bur | • • • | |
| * See the attached detailed Office action for a | list of the certified copies not re | eceived. |
| AM A Markey and Mark | | |
| Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) | 4) Interview Su | mmary·(PTO-413) |
| 2) Description Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) | Paper No(s)/ | Mail Date |
| Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date | 5) Notice of Info 6) Other: | ormal Patent Application |

Art Unit: 2611

DETAILED ACTION

Response to Arguments

1. Applicant's arguments filed 7/19/07 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.

The US filing date for previously cited reference Aoyama et al. (US 6968212 B1, hereinafter, Aoyama) is 01/24/2002. Hence, contrary to applicant's argument, Aoyama qualifies as prior art under 35. U.S.C. 102 (e) and the rejection as per office action mailed 4/24/07 is maintained (repeated below).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.
- Claims 1-3 and 11-13 rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Aoyama.

Art Unit: 2611

- 3a. Regarding claims 1 and 11, Aoyama shows base station apparatus and packet transmission method used in a CDMA radio communication system wherein is shown a modulation device comprising:
 - a modulation unit that modulates data in a hierarchical manner using multiple types of modulation techniques(Fig. 2, column 2, line 35 column 4, line 25; modulation section 153 is interpreted as claimed modulation unit. Modulation system determining section 152 selects one of 16QAM or 64QPSK or QPSK (claimed multiple types of modulation techniques) based on determined priority (claimed hierarchy). Then, modulation system determining section 152 instructs modulation section 153 about the modulation system. Thus, modulation unit 153 performs a different type of modulation, as instructed by section 152, which determines the type of modulation based on the priority determined. Hence modulation unit 153 is interpreted as claimed modulation unit performing claimed hierarchical modulation); and
 - a transmission unit that transmits the hierarchically modulated data (Fig. 2, column 2, line 35 column 4, line 25; antennas 101, 102, 103 and duplexer 104 are interpreted as claimed transmission unit).

Regarding claim 11, Aoyama discloses corresponding method.

3b. Regarding claims 2 and 12, Aoyama further shows the modulation device, comprising:

Art Unit: 2611

a sampling pattern generating unit that generates a sampling pattern for each of the multiple types of modulation techniques, the sampling pattern defining a sampling space for quantizing said data in accordance with each of said modulation techniques, wherein the modulation unit modulates said data in the hierarchical manner using a digital signal sampled based on the sampling pattern (Fig. 2, column 2, line 35 – column 4, line 25. Since modulation system determining section 152 selects a type of modulation technique, as claimed (16QAM, 64QPSK or QPSK). Thus modulation system determining section 152 is interpreted as claimed sampling pattern generating unit because it defines the sampling space of the carrier used in modulation, i.e. it defines the type of modulation scheme to be used (16QAM and 64QPSK or QPSK)).

Regarding claim 12, Aoyama discloses corresponding method.

- 3c. Regarding claims 3 and 13, Aoyama further shows the modulation device, wherein:
 - the sampling pattern defines the sampling space of a carrier used in one of multi-phase phase shift keying and multi-value quadrature amplitude modulation. (Fig.2, column 2, line 35 – column 4, line 25; 16QAM is claimed multi-value quadrature amplitude modulation and 64QPSK is claimed multiphase phase shift keying).

Regarding claim 13, Aoyama discloses corresponding method.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

This application currently names joint inventors. In considering patentability of the claims under 35 U.S.C. 103(a), the examiner presumes that the subject matter of the various claims was commonly owned at the time any inventions covered therein were made absent any evidence to the contrary. Applicant is advised of the obligation under 37 CFR 1.56 to point out the inventor and invention dates of each claim that was not commonly owned at the time a later invention was made in order for the examiner to consider the applicability of 35 U.S.C. 103(c) and potential 35 U.S.C. 102(e), (f) or (g) prior art under 35 U.S.C. 103(a).

4. Claims 4 and 14 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Aoyama in view of Marchetto et al. (US 5914959), hereinafter, Marchetto.

- 4a. Regarding claims 4 and 14, Aoyama shows all the limitations claimed (See 3b above), but fails to explicitly disclose whether the sampling pattern is transmitted along with the modulated data.
 - In the same field of endeavor, however, Marchetto shows a digital communication having an automatically selectable transmission rate wherein:
 - the transmission unit transmits the sampling pattern, together with the hierarchically modulated data. (See column 1, line 55 column 3, line 22. A base transmitter (claimed transmission unit) transmits a data signal using an initial set of constellation points. The pilot block symbol identifying the constellation pattern (claimed sampling pattern) is transmitted with the data stream, i.e. claimed sampling pattern is transmitted with the data stream).

Thus, it would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art to use a pilot symbol identifying the constellation pattern (or to transmit the sampling pattern) along with modulated data as shown by Marchetto in the modulation device shown by Aoyama, because Marchetto's technique will ensure proper demodulation of the data at the receiver (Column 3, lines 10-22).

Regarding claim 14, Aoyama and Marchetto disclose corresponding method.

Conclusion

5. **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL.** Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Contact Information

6. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Vineeta S. Panwalkar whose telephone number is 571-272-8561. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F 8:30-5:00.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Mohammad Ghayour can be reached on 571-272-3021. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR

Application/Control Number: 10/661,602

Art Unit: 2611

Page 8

only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

78

MOHAMMED GHAYOUR SUPERVISORY RATENT EXAMINER